



Construction Products Regulation: EU (No) 305/2011

This Declaration has been drawn-up in accordance with Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No. 574/2014 which amends Annex III of Regulation (EU) No 305/2011.

DECLARATION OF PERFORMANCE

No. E0042

1. Unique identification code of the product-type:

Model number and Description:

ORB-HT-51153 Orbis Intrinsically Safe Class CR Heat Detector with SensAlert/FasTest ORB-HT-51154 Orbis Intrinsically Safe Class CR Heat Detector with Flashing LED/SensAlert/FasTest

Approved Accessories:

Base: ORB-MB-50018, ORB-BA-50008, 45681-207

Harmonised Product Type(s):

Heat Detectors - Point Detectors

2. Intended use/es:

Point detectors for use in fire detection and fire alarm systems installed in and around buildings

3. Manufacturer:

Apollo Fire Detectors Ltd, 36 Brookside Road, Havant, Hampshire, PO9 1JR, United Kingdom

4. Authorised representative:

Apollo Gesellschaft für Meldetechnologie mbH Am Anger 31 33332 Gütersloh Deutschland

5. System of AVCP

System 1

6a. Harmonised Standard(s)

EN 54-5:2017 + A1:2018

6b. Notified Body:

DBI Certification A/S (Notified Body 2531)

A HALMA COMPANY





www.apollo-fire.co.uk

Apollo Fire Detectors Ltd. Registered in England No. 1483208 Registered Office: 36 Brookside Road, Havant, Hampshire, PO9 1JR VAT Registration No. GB 339 0553 54

7. Declared performance

Table 1				
Detector Category (Heat Class):	Typical Application Temperature	Maximum Application Temperature °C	Minimum Static Response Temperature °C	Maximum Static Response Temperature °C
CR	55	80	84	100

Table 2- Response time limits

Rate of rise of air temperature K min-1	Lowe	Cat CR Lower limit Uper limit		limit
	Min	S	Min	S
1	29	0	46	0
3	7	13	16	0
5	4	9	10	0
10	2	0	5	30
20	1	30	3	13
30		40	2	25

Performance

Essential characteristics	Clauses in EN 54-5:2017/ A1:2018	Regulatory classes	Performance
Operational reliability: Position of heat sensitive element	4.2.1		The heat sensitive element(s) or at least part of it, except elements with auxiliary functions (e.g.characteristic correctors), are a distance ≥15mm from the mounting surface of the point heat detector.
Individual alarm indication	4.2.2	CR	Category CR The heat detector is provided with an integral red visual indicator and can remain identified until the alarm is reset. The visual indicator is visible from a distance of 6 m directly below the point heat detector, in an ambient light intensity up to 500 lx.
Connection of ancillary devices	4.2.3	-	Open or short circuit failures of connection to ancillary device do not prevent the correct operation of the detector
Monitoring of detachable point heat detectors	4.2.4	-	A fault condition is signaled when the detector is removed from the mounting base.
Manufacturer's adjustments	4.2.5		It is not possible to change the maufacture's settings expept by special means (e.g. a special code or tool, or by breaking or remove a seal).



Onsite adjustments of	4.2.6	N/A	
response behavior			
Software controlled detectors (when provided)	4.2.7	The software documentation and the software design complies supplied by the manufacturer with the requirements of this standard.	
Nominal activation conditions/Sensitivity:			
Directional dependence	4.3.1	The response time of the point dectetor do not unduly depend on the direction of airflow around the point heat detector.	
Static response temperature	4.3.2	The response temperatures of the point heat detectors libetween the minimum and maximum static response temperatures, according to the category of the point heat detector in Table 1 above.	
Response times from typical application temperature	4.3.3	The response times of the point heat detector lie between the lower and upper response time limits for the appropriate point heat detector category in Table 2 above.	
Response times from 25 °C	4.3.4	The response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ exceeds 7 min 13 s and th response time at 20 K min ⁻¹ exceeds 1 min 0 s.	
Response times from high ambient temperature	4.3.5	No alarm or fault signal was given at high ambient temperatures appropriate to the anticipated service temepratures.	
		CR 3 K min ⁻¹ , Lower limit, 1 min 20 s and upper limit 16 m. 20 K min ⁻¹ , Lower limit, 12 s and upper limit 3 m 13 s.	
Reproducibility	4.3.6	The response times of the point heat detectors lie between the lower ad upper response time limits specified in Table 2 above.	
Response delay (response time):			
Additional test for suffix S point heat detectors	4.4.1	N/A	
Additional test for suffix R point heat detectors	4.4.2	Suffix R, the point heat detector maintains the response requirements of its category, in table 2 above, for high rates of rise of temperature from an initial temperature below the typical application temperature applicable to the category marked on it.	
		Point heat detectorInitial conditioningcategorytemperature °C	
		CR 35 ±2	
Tolerance to supply voltage:			
Variation in supply parameters	4.5	The point heat detector does not unduly depent on variation in the supply parameters and lie between the lower and upper response time limits specified in Table 2 above.	



Durability of nominal		
activation		
conditions/Sensitivity:		
temperature resistance		
Cold (operational)	4.6.1.1	No alarm or fault signal was given during the transition to the conditioning temperature or during the period at the condition temperature
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.
		CR: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Dry heat (endurance)	4.6.1.2	No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning
		Point heat detector Conditioning category Temperature °C
		C 80 ±2
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.
		CR: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Humidity resistance Damp heat, cyclic (operational)	4.6.2.1	No alarm or fault signal was given during the conditioning.
		Lower temperature: (25±3) °C Upper temperature: (40±2) °C
		Relative humidity: At lower temperature :≥ 95 % At upper temperature : (93 ±3) %
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.
		CR: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Damp heat, steady-state (endurance)	4.6.2.2	No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning.
		Conditioning Temperature : 40 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % Duration : 21 days
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.



		CR: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed
		30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Corrosion resistance		
Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance)	4.6.3	No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning.
		Conditioning Temperature : 25 ±2 °C
		Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 %
		SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume)
		Duration : 21 days
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.
		CR: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed
		30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Vibration resistance		
Shock (operational)	4.6.4.1	No alarm or fault signal was given during the conditioning period or an additional 2 min.
		For specimen with a mass \leq 4,75 kg :
		Shock pulse type: Half sine
		Pulse duration: 6 ms
		Peak acceleration: 10X (100-20M) ms-2 (M is specimen mass in Kg)
		Number of directions: 6
		Pulses per direction: 3
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.
		CR: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Impact (operational)	4.6.4.2	No alarm or fault signal was given during the conditioning period or an additional 2 min.
		Conditioning:
		Impact energy: 1,9 ±0,1 J
		Hammer velocity: 1,5 ±0,13 ms ⁻¹
		Number of impacts: 1
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.
		CR: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Vibration, sinusoidal (operational)	4.6.4.3	No fault signal was given during the conditioning Conditioning:
		Frequency range: 10 to 150 Hz
		Acceleration amplitude: $5 \text{ ms}^{-2}(\approx 0.5 \text{ g}_n)$
		Number of axes : 3
		Sweep rate: 1 octave min ⁻¹
		Number of sweep cycles: 1 per axis



		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CR: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Vibration, sinusoidal (endurance)	4.6.4.4	No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning: Frequency range: 10 to 150 Hz Acceleration amplitude: 10 ms ⁻² (≈1,0 g _n) Number of axes : 3 Sweep rate: 1 octave min ⁻¹ Number of sweep cycles: 20 per axis Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CR: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed
Electrical stability EMC immunity (operational)	4.6.5	30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6Compliance in EN 50130-4:2011 and No fault signal was given during the conditioning.Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.CR: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6

8. Online Display Location

This document can be viewed online at www.apollo-fire.co.uk

The performance of the product identified above is in the conformity with the set of declared performance/s. This declaration of performance is issued, in accordance with Regulation (EU) No. 305/2011, under the sole responsibility of the manufacturer identified above

Signed for and on behalf of Apollo Fire Detectors Limited by:

Mr. David Robbins Technical Director Havant – 11.07.2022

(v9)

