

CERTIFICATE OF CONSTANCY OF PERFORMANCE

Issued by DBI Certification, notified body No. 2531.

In compliance with Regulation 305/2011/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 March 2011 (the Construction Products Regulation or CPR), this certificate applies to the construction product

55000-136 Series 65 Conventional Class CS Heat Detector with Flashing LED

The product fulfils the essential characteristic:

See Annex 1

Intended use: Applications related to automatic fire alarm systems

Placed on the market under the name or trade mark of:

Apollo Fire Detectors Ltd. 36 Brookside Road,

Havant, Hampshire GB-P09 1JR

United Kingdom

and produced in the manufacturing plant:

Apollo Fire Detectors Ltd. 36 Brookside Road, Havant, Hampshire GB-P09 1JR United Kingdom

This attests that all provisions concerning the performance described in Annex ZA of the standard(s)

EN 54-5:2017/A1:2018 : Fire detection and fire alarm systems – Part 5: Heat detectors - point heat

detector

under system 1 for the performance set out in this certificate are applied and that the factory production control conducted by the manufacturer is assessed to ensure the

CONSTANCY OF PERFORMANCE OF THE CONSTRUCTION PRODUCT.

This certificate was first issued on 2019-10-09 and will remain valid as long as neither the harmonised standard, the construction product, the AVCP methods nor the manufacturing conditions in the plant are modified significantly, unless suspended or withdrawn by the notified product certification body.

The attached annexes form part of this certificate.

Date of issue: 2022-06-10.

(This certificate supersedes the previous version of this certificate issued 2019-10-09)

Merete Poulsen Responsible for evaluation Steen Nilsson
Responsible for certification decision

The certificate shall be reproduced in extenso
– extracts only with written permission from DBI Certification A/S.





Annex 1

EXTENT

Model Reference:

55000-136 Series 65 Conventional Class CS Heat Detector with Flashing LED

Bases:

45681-200 Series 60/65 Mounting Base

45681-201 Series 60/65 Diode Mounting Base

45681-245 Series 65 Relay Mounting Base

45681-246 Series 65 Auxiliary Mounting Base

45681-247 Series 65 EOL 12 Volt Mounting Base

45681-248 Series 65 EOL 24 Volt Mounting Base

Note:

1. Meets the requirements of EN54: Part 5 at Class CS

Description:

Class C Adressable Heat Detector intend for use in fire detection and fire alarm systems intalled in and around buldings. With additional test for Suffix S detectors.

Operating Voltage:

9 to 33 V DC

Heat Response Catergory:

*For detector categories with the suffix S or R, additional requirements are needed see 4.4.1 or 4.4.2

Table 1

	Detector Category	Typical Application	Maximum Application	Minimum Static	Maximum Static
	(Heat Class):	Temperature	Temperature °C	Response	Response Temperature
				Temperature °C	°C
l	CS	55	80	84	100

Table 2- Response time limits

Rate of rise of	CS					
air temperature K min-1	Lowe	er limit	Uper limit			
	Min	S	Min	S		
1	29	0	46	0		
3	7	13	16	0		
5	4	9	10	0		
10	2	0	5	30		
20	1	30	3	13		
30		40	2	25		



[–] extracts only with written permission from DBI Certification A/S.





Operational reliability: Position of heat sensitive element	A1:2018	classes	
Position of heat sensitive			
	4.2.1		The heat sensitive element(s) or at least part of it, except elements with auxiliary functions (e.g. characteristic correctors), are a distance ≥15mm from the mounting surface of the point heat detector.
Individual alarm indication	4.2.2		Category CS The heat detector is provided with an integral red visual indicator and can remain identified until the alarm is reset. The visual indicator is visible from a distance of 6 m directly below the point heat detector, in an ambient light intensity up to 500 lx.
Connection of ancillary devices	4.2.3		Open or short circuit failures of connection to ancillary device do not prevent the correct operation of the detector
Monitoring of detachable point heat detectors	4.2.4		A fault condition is signaled when the detector is removed from the mounting base.
Manufacturer's adjustments	4.2.5		It is not possible to change the maufacture's settings expept by special means (e.g. a special code or tool, or by breaking or remove a seal).
Onsite adjustments of response behavior	4.2.6		The response behaviour cannot be modified.
Software controlled detectors (when provided)	4.2.7	CS	The detector does not incorporate any software controlled components.
Nominal activation conditions/Sensitivity:			
Directional dependence	4.3.1		The response time of the point dectetor do not unduly depend on the direction of airflow around the point heat detector.
Static response temperature	4.3.2		The response temperatures of the point heat detectors lie between the minimum and maximum static response temperatures, according to the category of the point hear detector in Table 1 above.
Response times from typical application temperature	4.3.3		The response times of the point heat detector lie between the lower and upper response time limits for the appropriate point heat detector category in Table 2 above.
Response times from 25 °C	4.3.4		The response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ exceeds 7 min 13 s and the response time at 20 K min ⁻¹ exceeds 1 min 0 s.
Response times from high ambient temperature	4.3.5		No alarm or fault signal was given at high ambient temperatures appropriate to the anticipated service temepratures.



[–] extracts only with written permission from DBI Certification A/S.





		3 K min ⁻¹ , Lower limit, 1 min 20 s and upper limit 16 m. 20 K min ⁻¹ , Lower limit, 12 s and upper limit 3 m 13 s.	
Reproducibility	4.3.6	The response times of the point heat detectors lie between the lower ad upper response time limits specified in Table 2 above.	
Response delay (response time):			
Additional test for suffix S point heat detectors	4.4.1	Suffix S point heat detector did not exceed the lower limits of response time during the transer period or during the 10 min exposure below.	
		Point heat detector Temperature °C Temperature °C Temperature °C	
		CS 35 ±2 80 ±2	
		Rate of rise of air temperature K min ⁻¹ Lower Limit response time	
		Min S	
		3 9 40	
		5 5 48 10 2 54	
		20 1 27	
		30 58	
Additional test for suffix R point heat detectors	4.4.2	N/A	
Tolerance to supply voltage:			
Variation in supply parameters	4.5	The point heat detector does not unduly depent on variation in the supply parameters and lie between the lower and upper response time limits specified in Table 2 above.	
Durability of nominal activation conditions/Sensitivity: temperature resistance			
Cold (operational) 4.6.1.1		No alarm or fault signal was given during the transition to the conditioning temperature or during the period at the condition temperature	
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.	
		CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6	
Dry heat (endurance)	4.6.1.2	No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning	



[–] extracts only with written permission from DBI Certification A/S.





Controlling Controlling			Point heat detector	Conditioning
Response time at 3 K min ¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Humidity resistance Damp heat, cyclic (operational) Lower temperature: (25±3) °C Upper temperature: (25±3) °C Upper temperature: (25±3) °C Upper temperature: (39±3) % Response time at 3 K min ² was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ² was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ² was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. Conditioning Temperature: 40 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ² was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ² was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) A.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 connectration: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ² was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.				_
and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. Humidity resistance Damp heat, cyclic (operational) Lower temperature: (25±3) °C Upper temperature: (25±3) °C Upper temperature: (25±3) °C Upper temperature: (30±2) °C Relative humidity: At lower temperature: (93±3) % Response time at 3 K min² was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min² was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40 ± 2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ± 3 %. Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min² was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min² was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min² was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min² was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min² was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min² was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.			CS	80 ±2
Humidity resistance			and did not exceed 2 min 4	
No alarm or fault signal was given during the conditioning. Lower temperature: (40±2) °C Relative humidity: At lower temperature: (93 ±3) % Response time at 3 K min¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				
conditioning. Lower temperature: (25±3) °C Upper temperature: (40±2) °C Relative humidity: At lower temperature: (93±3)% Response time at 3 K min² was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min² was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min² was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min² was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min² was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO₂) Corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 pm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min² was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				
Upper temperature: (40±2)**C Relative humidity: At lower temperature: 295 % At upper temperature: (93±3) % Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40±2**C Relative Humidity: 93±3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.		4.6.2.1	_	s given during the
Relative humidity: At lower temperature: 295 % At upper temperature: (93 ±3) % Response time at 3 K min ⁻³ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. C5: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. C5: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. C5: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) A.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				
At lower temperature :≥95 % At upper temperature : (93 ±3) % Response time at 3 K min⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature : 40 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % Duration : 21 days Response time at 3 K min⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 CS: 20 K min⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature : 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration : 21 days Response time at 3 K min⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time			Relative humidity:	
At upper temperature: (93 ±3) % Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93±3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93±3 % SO2 concentration: 25±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				%
and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min 1 was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40 ± 2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ± 3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min 1 was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min 1 was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ± 2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ± 3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ± 5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min 1 was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				
and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min 1 was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40 ± 2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ± 3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min 1 was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min 1 was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ± 2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ± 3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ± 5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min 1 was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time			Response time at 3 K min-1	was not less than 7 min 13 s
obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				
Damp heat, steady-state (endurance) 4.6.2.2 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				·
Damp heat, steady-state (endurance) No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				
the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 40 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) A.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time			30 3 compared with the tim	ic obtained in 4.5.5
Conditioning Temperature: 40 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		No fault signal was given or	reconnection attributable to
Temperature: 40 ± 2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ± 3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) A.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ± 2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ± 3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ± 5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time			the endurance conditioning	,
Temperature: 40 ± 2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ± 3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) A.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ± 2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ± 3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ± 5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time			Conditioning	
Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time			_	
Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				
and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				
and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				
obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6 Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				
Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				Us compared with the time
Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Corrosion resistance Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time			CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less	than 1 min and did not exceed
Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time			30 s compared with the tim	e obtained in 4.3.6
Sulphur dioxide (SO ₂) corrosion (endurance) 4.6.3 No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time	Corrosion resistance			
the endurance conditioning. Conditioning Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time		4.6.3	No fault signal was given or	reconnection attributable to
Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time	corrosion (endurance)			
Temperature: 25 ±2 °C Relative Humidity: 93 ±3 % SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time			Conditioning	
SO2 concentration: 25 ±5 ppm (by volume) Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				
Duration: 21 days Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time				
Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time			-	
and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time			Duration: 21 days	
obtained in 4.3.6.				0 s compared with the time
			obtained in 4.3.6.	



[–] extracts only with written permission from DBI Certification A/S.





		CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Vibration resistance		
Shock (operational)	4.6.4.1	No alarm or fault signal was given during the conditioning period or an additional 2 min.
		For specimen with a mass ≤ 4,75 kg:
		Shock pulse type: Half sine Pulse duration: 6 ms
		Peak acceleration: 10X (100-20M) ms-2 (M is specimen mass in Kg) Number of directions: 6
		Pulses per direction: 3
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.
		CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Impact (operational)	4.6.4.2	No alarm or fault signal was given during the conditioning period or an additional 2 min.
		Conditioning: Impact energy: $1.9 \pm 0.1 \text{J}$ Hammer velocity: $1.5 \pm 0.13 \text{ms}^{-1}$ Number of impacts: 1
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.
		CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Vibration, sinusoidal (operational)	4.6.4.3	No fault signal was given during the conditioning Conditioning:
		Frequency range: 10 to 150 Hz Acceleration amplitude: 5 ms⁻²(≈0,5 g _n) Number of axes: 3
		Sweep rate: 1 octave min ⁻¹ Number of sweep cycles: 1 per axis
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.
		CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Vibration, sinusoidal (endurance)	4.6.4.4	No fault signal was given on reconnection attributable to the endurance conditioning.
		Conditioning:



[–] extracts only with written permission from DBI Certification A/S.





		Frequency range: 10 to 150 Hz
		Acceleration amplitude: 10 ms ⁻² (≈1,0 g _n) Number of axes: 3
		Sweep rate: 1 octave min ⁻¹
		Number of sweep cycles: 20 per axis
		Number of Sweep cycles. 20 per axis
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6.
		CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6
Electrical stability EMC	4.6.5	Compliance in EN 50130-4:2011 and No fault signal was
immunity (operational)		given during the conditioning.
		Response time at 3 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 7 min 13 s and did not exceed 2 min 40 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6. CS: 20 K min ⁻¹ was not less than 1 min and did not exceed 30 s compared with the time obtained in 4.3.6

Annex 2

TEST DOCUMENTATION

Accredited Laboratory	Report no.	Date
VdS	BMA 00018	2000-03-03
BRE	P122562-AB	2022-03-21

TECHNICAL BASIS

File Number	Title
55000-136	Build Standard
45681-200	Build Standard
45681-201	Build Standard
45681-245	Build Standard
45681-246	Build Standard
45681-247	Build Standard
45681-248	Build Standard



[–] extracts only with written permission from DBI Certification A/S.